

GEOLOGICAL RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION OF KOSHILSKOYE OIL  
FIELD  
(WESTERN SIBERIA, RUSSIA)

by

Anna A. Fursova

ProQuest Number: 10794309

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10794309

Published by ProQuest LLC (2018). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.


This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code  
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.


ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

A thesis submitted to the Faculty and the Board of Trustees of the Colorado  
School of Mines in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of  
Science (Geology)

Golden, Colorado


Date September 1, 1997

Signed:   
Anna A. Fursova

Approved:   
Dr. Roger M. Slatt  
Thesis Advisor

Golden, Colorado

Date 9/3/97

  
Dr. Roger M. Slatt  
Professor and Head,  
Department of Geology and  
Geological Engineering

## **ABSTRACT**

The initial geological model of the Koshilskoye Oil field in 1995 described the reservoir units of the Koshilskoye field as uniform, laterally continuous strata, which are homogeneous in terms of reservoir properties distribution. However, production of this field appeared to be too low for the established geological characteristics, even after waterflooding had begun.

This study was designed to analyze the reasons for this low production and to help optimize the recovery efficiency through improved reservoir characterization. To accomplish this, a detailed stratigraphic framework was developed by means of analysis of 10 stratigraphic cross-sections and 6 isopach maps constructed for the individual and composite units. This allowed the identification of facies deposited during Late Jurassic transgressive events, represented by meandering fluvial sandstone (Yellow-Blue horizon - base of the section), coastal plain distributary channel sandstones (Bazhenov-Pink Horizon), and marine shale (Bazhenov Formation - top of the section).

The structure was determined through analysis of 23 structural cross-sections, a structural map and available production data (DST, oil/water production, and mercury injection tests). As a result, Koshilskoye field is considered to be structurally

compartmentalized. Structural compartmentalization is controlled by the regional tectonic trend (north-south rifting) and lithological differentiation influenced by deposition.

Structural and stratigraphic compartmentalization controls the reservoir geometry as well as reservoir volume and reservoir fluid distribution. Faults make the reservoir unit of Koshilskoye Field heterogeneous with regard to fluid flow as evidenced by: (a) different positions of the oil-water contact (OWC) in individual fault blocks and (b) poor response of production wells to injection, especially in the directions perpendicular to the structural trend.

Analysis of facies and reservoir properties distribution, structural compartmentalization, aspects of the water drive mechanism, the positions of the OWC, and dimensions of a transition zone all helped to estimate the realistic production potential of this field and to develop criteria for optimizing the existing development pattern. Water injection wells should be placed to maximize the south/southeast-north/northwest optimal fluid flow pathway identified from this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF PLATES .....	xiv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	xvi
Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Previous Study .....	1
1.2. Purpose.....	1
1.3. Methods.....	3
Chapter 2. GEOLOGIC SETTING.....	6
2.1. Regional Setting. Structure and stratigraphy of the West Siberian Basin .....	6
2.1.1. Structure.....	6
2.1.2. Stratigraphic Nomenclature .....	11
2.1.3. Stratigraphy.....	12
2.1.3.1. Paleozoic .....	12
2.1.3.2. Lower-Middle Jurassic.....	12
2.1.3.3. Upper Jurassic .....	14
2.1.3.4. Lower Cretaceous .....	15
2.1.3.5. Upper Cretaceous and Younger .....	19
2.2. Petroleum Geology and Source Rock Evaluation.....	19
2.3. Local Geology and General Description of Koshilskoye Oil Field .....	21
2.3.1. Structure.....	21
2.3.2. Lithology.....	21
2.3.3. Production Characteristics .....	24

2.3.4. Fluid Properties.....	24
2.3.5. Classification of Hydrocarbon Reserves.....	24
2.3.6. Reserves Estimation.....	26
2.3.7. Reservoir Engineering .....	27
Chapter 3. DATA AVAILABLE AND THEIR RELIABILITY .....	28
3.1. List of Unpublished Reports and Studies .....	28
3.2. Reliability of Information .....	30
3.2.1. Seismic Data and their Applicability to Stratigraphic Interpretation.....	30
3.2.2. Quality of Logging Process and Log Data.....	35
3.2.3. Directional Drilling and Well Deviation Control .....	37
3.2.4. Quality of Core and Core Data .....	41
3.2.5. Integrity of Production Data .....	51
Chapter 4. STRATIGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION .....	53
4.1. Criteria for Interpretation.....	53
4.2. Observations and Assumptions.....	54
4.2.1. Log Pattern.....	54
4.2.2. Core Data .....	57
4.2.3. Assumptions.....	58
4.3. Interpretation of Depositional Environment .....	59
4.3.1. Stratigraphic Analysis of Vertical Stacking.....	59
4.3.2. Well Log Correlations and Cross-Sections.....	60
4.3.2.1. Detailed Correlation.....	60
4.3.2.2. SP cut-off and Thickness Measurements .....	63
4.3.3. Isopach Mapping.....	64
Chapter 5. STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT .....	67
5.1. Criteria for Interpretation .....	67
5.2. Analysis of Structural and Isopach Maps .....	68

5.2.1. Structural Mapping .....	68
5.2.2. Isopach Map Analysis and Lateral Distribution of Sedimentologic Trends....	70
5.3. Analysis of Structural Cross-Sections .....	72
5.4. Regional Tectonics and Position of Faults.....	73
<b>Chapter 6. PETROLEUM ENGINEERING APPROACHES IN RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION .....</b>	<b>76</b>
6.1. General Application.....	76
6.2. Methods and Approaches.....	77
6.3. Discussion .....	79
6.3.1. Position of OWC.....	79
6.3.1.1. DST Data Analysis .....	80
6.3.1.2. Analysis of Capillary Pressure Data .....	84
6.3.2. Analysis of Flow Rates .....	93
6.3.3. Drive Mechanisms of Recovery and its Influence on Production .....	96
<b>Chapter 7. RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION .....</b>	<b>99</b>
7.1. Reservoir Properties.....	99
7.1.1. Analysis of Pore System in terms of Petrographic Study .....	100
7.1.1.1. Texture .....	100
7.1.1.2. Grains.....	101
7.1.1.3. Matrix.....	101
7.1.1.4. Diagenesis .....	101
7.1.2. Diagenetic Control on the Pore System .....	102
7.1.3. Porosity - Permeability Relationships.....	103
7.1.4. Reservoir Quality .....	120
7.2. Reservoir Geometry .....	121
<b>Chapter 8. CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>123</b>

REFERENCES .....	127
APPENDICES .....	131
Appendix A.....	132
Appendix B.....	159
Appendix C-I .....	171
Appendix C-II .....	174

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1.	Major oil and gas provinces, and oil and gas fields of the West Siberian basin. Adopted from Meyerhoff (1980a).....	2
Figure 2.	Major tectonic elements of the West Siberian basin. Structural datum is the basement. Adopted from Meyerhoff (1980a). .....	7
Figure 3.	West-east schematic cross-section of A-A' (fig. 2) across the southern part of the West Siberian basin. The shallow accumulations (Cenomanian) are mainly gas; deeper accumulations generally are oil and are in Neocomian strata; both gas and oil are present in Upper Jurassic rocks. PC=Precambrian; PR <sub>3</sub> =Vendian (late Proterozoic); PZ <sub>2</sub> =middle Paleozoic; PZ <sub>3</sub> =upper Paleozoic; C <sub>1</sub> =Lower Carboniferous; T=Triassic (may include some Permian); J=Jurassic; J <sub>3</sub> bg=Upper Jurassic Bazhenov and Georgiyev Formations, important source rocks in the basin; Kfr=Fedorov Formation; Kkl=Kulomzin Formation; Kmg=Megion Formation; Kvr=Nizhnevartov Formation; Kkh = Kharosoimsk Formation; Khm=Khantimansinsk Formation; K <sub>1</sub> =Lower Cretaceous; K <sub>2</sub> =Upper Cretaceous; P=Paleogene; N=Neogene; Q=Quaternary. Modified from Dikenshteyn et al. (1977). .....	8
Figure 4.	Map showing the Middle Ob area near the center of West Siberia with oil and gas fields indicated. Modified from Peters et al. (1993).....	9
Figure 5.	Map showing the smaller order structural elements within the Aleksandrovsk arch, and oil fields located in this area. Dot indicates the Koshilskoye field. From the report "Evaluation of Oil in place and Recoverable Reserves of Koshilskoye Field".....	10
Figure 6.	Stratigraphic column showing the main petroleum producing units in the Middle Ob region. Well locations of oil and rock samples for biomarker analyses are indicated in the far right column. Large and giant oil pools contain at least 200 million and 2 billion bbl of oil, respectively. Large and giant gas pools contain at least 1059.45 billion and 17657.5 billion ft <sup>3</sup> of gas, respectively. Pokachev 58 rock = Bazhenov Formation; Khohrryakov 9 = Vasyugan Formation; Yem-Yegov 15 rock = Tyumen Formation. Producing unit within the area of Koshilskoye Field is Vasyugan Formation. Modified from Peters et al. (1993).....	13

Figure 7.	Organic richness map for the Upper Jurassic Bazhenov formation, West Siberian basin, Russia (modified from Kontorovich, 1984). Total organic carbon content increases toward the center of the basin, typical of deposition dominated by marine organic matter input. The Middle Ob area (dashed rectangle) contains some of the more organic-rich Bazhenov rocks. ....	16
Figure 8a	Upper Jurassic petroleum source rock map. Modified from Klemme and Ulmishek (1991) .....	17
Figure 8b	Legend for the Upper Jurassic petroleum source rock map. Modified from Klemme and Ulmishek (1991).....	18
Figure 9a.	Cored wells with superimposed “Russian” stratigraphic interpretation. Wells 441, 494, and 1018. SP curve is in the left track, resistivity and conductivity curves are in the right track.....	22
Figure 9b.	Cored wells with superimposed “Russian” stratigraphic interpretation. Wells 415 and 451. SP curve is in the left track, resistivity and conductivity curves are in the right track.. .....	23
Figure 10.	Koshilskoye Field. Structure Map on Seismic Horizon “J (base of Bazhenov Shale [marker horizon] /top of Horizon “J”. Contour interval = 10 m. Mobil Oil Corp. From the report “Evaluation of Oil in place and Recoverable Reserves of Koshilskoye Field”.....	31
Figure 11.	Seismic Profile 20. Mobil Oil Corp. From the report “Evaluation of Oil in place and Recoverable Reserves of Koshilskoye Field” .....	32
Figure 12.	Seismic Profile 16. Mobil Oil Corp. From the report “Evaluation of Oil in place and Recoverable Reserves of Koshilskoye Field”.....	33
Figure 13.	Seismic Profile 5. Mobil Oil Corp. From the report “Evaluation of Oil in place and Recoverable Reserves of Koshilskoye Field”.....	34
Figure 14.	Schemes of directional drilling with the preliminary waterflood pattern for the Koshilskoye Field. “Filled” dots = drilled wells (production and injection); “unfilled” dots = projected wells; “filled” square = center of the block. The dotted areas indicate the territory of the Cedar National forest. From the report	

“Geologic Assessment and Perspectives for Development of Koshilskoye field”	39
Figure 15. Determination of subsea depth considering elongation (due to deviation of the well) and altitude	40
Figure 16. Similarities of log response in deviated wells (production - 436, 437, and 438) and vertical well (exploration - 300). Derrick symbol is for exploration well. Open circles are development wells. Part of stratigraphic cross-section 5-5’	42
Figure 17. Similarities of log response in deviated wells (production -600, 404, 1002, 1001, and 405) and vertical well (exploration - 302). Derrick symbol is for exploration well. Open circles are development wells. Part of stratigraphic cross-section 9-9’	43
Figure 18. Similarities of log response in deviated wells (production - 409, 1002, 1003, and 406) and vertical well (exploration - 302). Derrick symbol is for exploration well. Open circles are development wells. Part of structural cross-section J-J’	44
Figure 19. Similarities of log response in deviated wells (production - 462, 449, and 414) and vertical well (exploration - 300). Derrick symbol is for exploration well. Open circles are development wells. Part of structural cross-section I-I’	45
Figure 20. Well 415. Log signature with cored intervals and identified units (J1-1, J1-2, J1-3, and J2 (“Russian” interpretation); yellow, flesh, red, green, pink, purple, orange, and blue (preliminary interpretation made by A. Fursova); Bazhenov-Pink and Orange-Yellow (final interpretation made by A. Fursova). Depth units are meters	46
Figure 21. Well 494. Log signature with cored intervals and identified units (J1-1, J1-2, J1-3, and J2 (“Russian” interpretation); yellow, flesh, red, green, pink, purple, orange, and blue (preliminary interpretation made by A. Fursova); Bazhenov-Pink and Orange-Yellow (final interpretation made by A. Fursova). Depth units are meters	47
Figure 22. Well 441. Log signature with cored intervals and identified units (J1-1, J1-2, J1-3, and J2 (“Russian” interpretation); yellow, flesh, red, green, pink, purple, orange, and blue (preliminary interpretation made by A. Fursova); Bazhenov-	

Pink and Orange-Yellow (final interpretation made by A. Fursova). Depth units are meters. ....	48
Figure 23. Well 1018. Log signature with cored intervals and identified units (J1-1, J1-2, J1-3, and J2 (“Russian” interpretation); yellow, flesh, red, green, pink, purple, orange, and blue (preliminary interpretation made by A. Fursova); Bazhenov-Pink and Orange-Yellow (final interpretation made by A. Fursova). Depth units are meters. ....	49
Figure 24. Well 451. Log signature with cored intervals and identified units (J1-1, J1-2, J1-3, and J2 (“Russian” interpretation); yellow, flesh, red, green, pink, purple, orange, and blue (preliminary interpretation made by A. Fursova); Bazhenov-Pink and Orange-Yellow (final interpretation made by A. Fursova). Depth units are meters. ....	50
Figure 25. Stratal differences between lithostratigraphy, indicated by formations, and sequence stratigraphy, indicated by parasequences. Modified from Van Wagoner et al. (1990).....	62
Figure 26. Structural map on the base of Bazhenov Shale. Note the approximate coincidence of the fault pattern interpreted from logs and faults interpreted from seismic (see also figure 10). ....	75
Figure 27. Typical capillary pressure curve plotted on a semilog graph. Adopted from Jennings (1987).....	86
Figure 28. Three capillary pressure curves and their respective values for pore-throat sorting, reservoir grade, oil column for 50% saturation, and oil column for 75% saturation. Adopted from Jennings (1987). ....	88
Figure 29. Mercury injection test results. Well 494. Sample 1C. ....	90
Figure 30. Mercury injection test results. Well 441. Sample 6C. ....	91
Figure 31. Mercury injection test results. Well 441. Sample 35C. ....	92
Figure 32. Core well 441. Distribution of porosity over the depth range of the core .....	104
Figure 33. Core well 441. Distribution of permeability over the depth range of the core	105

Figure 34. Core well 441. Porosity-Permeability relationship .....	106
Figure 35. Core well 1018. Distribution of porosity over the depth range of the core...	107
Figure 36. Core well 1018. Distribution of permeability over the depth range of the core	108
Figure 37. Core well 1018. Porosity-Permeability relationship .....	109
Figure 38. Core well 415. Distribution of porosity over the depth range of the core.....	110
Figure 39. Core well 415. Distribution of permeability over the depth range of the core	111
Figure 40. Core well 415. Porosity-Permeability relationship .....	112
Figure 41. Core well 494. Distribution of porosity over the depth range of the core.....	113
Figure 42. Core well 494. Distribution of permeability over the depth range of the core	114
Figure 43. Core well 494. Porosity-Permeability relationship .....	115
Figure 44. Core well 451. Distribution of porosity over the depth range of the core.....	116
Figure 45. Core well 451. Distribution of permeability over the depth range of the core	117
Figure 46. Core well 451. Porosity-Permeability relationship .....	118
Table 1 Classification of Reserves and Resources (Russia) .....	25

## LIST OF PLATES

- Plate 1. Koshilskoye Field. Well locations. Cross-Sections. .... pocket
- Plate 2. Stratigraphic Cross-Sections: 1-1', 2-2', 3-3', 4-4', and 5-5' ..... pocket
- Plate 3. Stratigraphic Cross-Sections: 6-6', 7-7', 8-8', 9-9', and 10-10' ..... pocket
- Plate 4. Structural Cross-Sections: 1-1', 2-2', 3-3', 4-4', and 5-5' ..... pocket
- Plate 5. Structural Cross-Sections: 6-6', 7-7', 8-8', 9-9', and 10-10' ..... pocket
- Plate 6. Structural Cross-Sections (Southwest-Northeast): A-A', B-B', C-C', D-D', and E-E' ..... pocket
- Plate 7. Structural Cross-Sections (Northwest-Southeast): F-F', G-G', H-H' ..... pocket
- Plate 8. Structural Cross-Sections I-I', J-J', L-L', M-M', K-K' ..... pocket
- Plate 9. Structural Cross-Section with DST intervals: I-I' (scale 1:5000) ..... pocket
- Plate 10. Structural Cross-Section with DST intervals: J-J' (scale 1:5000). .... pocket
- Plate 11. Structural Cross-Section with DST intervals: K-K' (scale 1:5000) ..... pocket
- Plate 12. Structural Cross-Section with DST intervals: L-L' (scale 1:5000) ..... pocket
- Plate 13. Structural Cross-Section with DST intervals: M-M' (scale 1:5000). .... pocket
- Plate 14. Koshilskoye Field. Gross Isopach Map, Bazhenov-Pink Interval. .... pocket
- Plate 15. Koshilskoye Field. Net Isopach Map, Bazhenov-Pink Interval ..... pocket
- Plate 16. Koshilskoye Field. Gross Isopach Map, Orange-Blue Interval. .... pocket
- Plate 17. Koshilskoye Field. Net Isopach Map, Orange-Blue Interval ..... pocket
- Plate 18. Koshilskoye Field. Gross Isopach Map, Bazhenov-Orange Interval. .... pocket

Plate 19. Koshilskoye Field. Net Isopach Map, Bazhenov-Orange Interval. .... pocket

Plate 20. Koshilskoye Field. Net Isopach Map, Bazhenov-Pink Interval. Stratigraphic Interpretation..... pocket

Plate 21. Koshilskoye Field. Net Isopach Map, Orange-Blue Interval. Stratigraphic Interpretation..... pocket

Plate 22. Koshilskoye Field. Structure Map on the base of Bazhenov shale. Version A - position of interpreted faults ..... pocket

Plate 23. Koshilskoye Field. Structure Map on the base of Bazhenov shale. Version B - locations of cored wells, injection wells, and wells with DST data..... pocket

Plate 24. Koshilskoye Field. Structure Map on the base of Bazhenov shale. Version C - wells with high water production..... pocket

Plate 25. Koshilskoye Field. Structure Map on the base of Bazhenov shale. Version D - Flow Unit Model..... pocket

Plate 26. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 467. .... pocket

Plate 27. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 424. .... pocket

Plate 28. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 464. .... pocket

Plate 29. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 439. .... pocket

Plate 30. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 442. .... pocket

Plate 31. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 401. .... pocket

Plate 32. Production Data Analysis. Chart for injection well 429. .... pocket

The original material for this dissertation includes a significant number of oversized pages. The full text can be viewed by accessing the supplement file.

