

INTERPRETATION OF GRAVITY AND MAGNETIC DATA
FROM THE
NORTHERN APPALACHIAN BASIN

by

Frederick E. Berkman

ProQuest Number: 10796319

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10796319

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

T-3377

A thesis submitted to the Faculty and the Board of Trustees of the Colorado School of Mines in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Geophysics).

Golden, Colorado

Date 4-13-88

Signed: Frederick E. Berkman
Frederick E. Berkman

Approved: George V. Keller
George V. Keller
Thesis Advisor

Golden, Colorado

Date 4/13/88

Phillip R. Romig
Phillip R. Romig, Head
Department of Geophysics

ABSTRACT

Gravity and magnetic data covering a 12,000 square kilometer area of New York, Vermont and Massachusetts are interpreted for structure and depth to Precambrian basement. The geology of this part of the Appalachian orogen includes a deformed continental margin, and a sedimentary basin with multiple levels of thrust wedges involving both Paleozoic sediments and Precambrian basement. Depths are calculated along seven profiles using an inverse method based on the gravity effect of an infinite rectangular prism, and one based on the autocorrelation of the effect of an infinitely-bottomed magnetic dike. Data thought to have common source were jointly inverted for depth. Resolution problems stem from the multiple levels of basement, the lack of rock property information and the quality of the magnetic data. Depths to basement west of the overthrust reveal a faulted dipping surface of low relief. Beneath the overthrust, basement relief increases and abrupt large depth changes occur. These depth changes suggest basement underthrusts which developed in response to plate collision and subduction during the Taconic orogeny.

The analytic signal method is applied to on the gravity data to produce a trend map representing faults in the basin. This map shows persistent northeast trends which imply surface faults are inherited from basement rooted fault zones. A high-pass filter was applied to separate the intrasedimentary gravity anomalies from the basement anomalies.

These residual anomalies outline several of the Taconic thrust slices and the surrounding formations. Both techniques indicate that northwest trends of basement structures probably control zones of fracture-enhanced porosity and the distribution of intrasedimentary structural traps.

Sediment-basement density determinations indicate the basement is 0.26 to 0.30 tonnes/m³ more dense than the sediments. This density contrast is poorly constrained so that the maximum sedimentary thicknesses of 3.5 and 4.0 km, which occur along the axis of the gravity low, may increase.

Seismic, gravity, magnetic and geologic information is best explained by a deeply eroded Grenvillian collision suture associated with one of the northeast trends on the analytic signal map. Two igneous bodies protrude above

T-3377

basement along the suture. Solution confidence is gained from the remarkable similarity between magnetic basement and seismic basement along the COCORP seismic line. The joint inversion of gravity and magnetic data complemented the more ambiguous single inversions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE.....	i
SUBMITTAL SHEET.....	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF PLATES.....	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xii
INTRODUCTION	1
GEOLOGICAL SETTING	8
Regional geology.....	8
Physiographic setting.....	12
Stratigraphy.....	13
Precambrian.....	13
Cambrian-Ordovician.....	16
Silurian.....	21
Devonian.....	21
Post Devonian.....	22
Structures.....	23
GEOPHYSICAL DATA	31
Gravity Data	31
Magnetic Data.....	32
Density Data.....	33
Rock Magnetization.....	44
INVERSION.....	48
Gravity Inversion.....	49
Magnetic Inversion.....	52
Marquardt Inversion.....	58
Joint Inversion.....	60

Joint Forward Model.....	62
Analytic Signal and Faults.....	63
PREVIOUS WORK	67
Gravity.....	67
Magnetics.....	69
CORCORP Seismic Line.....	71
INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS.....	74
Major Gravity Features.....	74
Bennington-Albany Anomaly.....	77
Faults.....	79
Residual Gravity Anomalies.....	81
Profiles (General).....	84
Profile A.....	85
NURE Data Profile.....	90
COCORP Profile.....	92
Profile D.....	95
Profile F.....	98
Profile H.....	101
Albany Profile.....	104
CONCLUSIONS.....	110
REFERENCES	114
APPENDIX - Gravity Data.....	123

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Map of eastern New York showing the location of TDEM traverses and elevation of Precambrian basement in feet (Rickard, 1973).....	4
2. Diagram of Processing Scheme.....	6
3. Map of Appalachian orogen (King, 1972) showing existing COCORP seismic lines (Ando et al., 1984).....	9
4. General geologic map showing major rock units and the location of geologic cross section C-C' (Zen, 1968).....	11
5. Stratigraphic section for Glens Falls region excluding the Taconic sequence (Fisher, 1983).....	14
6. Stratigraphic section showing the Taconic sequence for the Glens Falls region (Fisher, 1983).....	19
7. Location map of places discussed in the text.....	20
8. Regional structural map (U.S. Geol. Surv., 1968).....	27
9. Geologic cross section C-C' showing present position of major rock units (Stanley and Ratcliffe, 1985).....	30

10.	Density log for D.J. Finnegan Well (Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.).....	40
11.	Thickness vs. Bouguer anomaly six wells in the Albany area.....	43
12.	Regional gravity map (Diment et al., 1980).....	68
13.	COCORP Seismic Line location map (Ando et al., 1984).....	72
14.	COCORP Seismic Line interpretation (Ando et al., 1984).....	73
15.	Profile A.....	86
16.	NURE Data profile.....	91
17.	COCORP profile.....	93
18.	Profile D.....	96
19.	Profile F.....	99
20.	Profile H.....	102
21.	Albany profile.....	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1.	Nettleton densities for formations along profile "A".	35
2.	Densities from the literature.	38
3.	List of measured susceptibilities.	47

T-3377

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1.	Nettleton densities for formations along profile "A".	35
2.	Densities from the literature.	38
3.	List of measured susceptibilities.	47

T-3377

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.

1. Complete Bouguer Anomaly
2. Magnetic Anomaly
3. Analytic Signal
4. Analytic Signal Trends
5. Residual Gravity

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

express my sincere appreciation to my advisor Professor George V. Keller, for his understanding and guidance, and to my thesis committee, Richard O. Hansen, Thomas L.T. Grose, Walter W. Whitman and Stephen R. Daniel for their encouragement and insightful suggestions.

Many hours of assistance was given by the faculty staff, and fellow graduate students; for this I am grateful. I wish to specifically thank Norman Harthill, Henrik Anderson, Helen Leek, Catherine K. Skokan, Mary Catherine Pfeifer, and Thomas LaFehr for their help and advice.

I can only begin to thank my family for their unfailing support. Words fail to adequately describe the steadfastness of Mary Ellen my wife.

The original material for this dissertation includes a significant number of oversized pages. The full text can be viewed by accessing the supplement file.

